

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,492	10/31/2003	Jon Sinclair Olsen	A-8793	7703
7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER		
Christopher J. McDonald			CHIU, RALEIGH W	
HOFFMAN, W	/ASSON & GITLER, P.C.		ART UNIT	
Suite 522	Suite 522			PAPER NUMBER
2361 Jefferson Davis Highway			3711	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Antique Communication		10/697,492	OLSEN, JON SINCLAIR		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Raleigh Chiu	3711		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute. Cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status		•			
1)  🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 June 2005			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-16 and 18-30</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
7)	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13,15,16,18-21,23,24 and</u> Claim(s) <u>14,22 and 25</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a				
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ olto the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority docu  Certified copies of the priority docu  Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been	pplication No		
	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachmen	nt(s)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/15/2004</u> .	(s) Paper No	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Art Unit: 3711

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 9, 13, 15, 17 and 21 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Serman. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102 and 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 10, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 3,839,820 (Serman, Jr., hereinafter Serman).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 16 and 18, Figure 5 of Serman shows multiple base structures 16,22 with planar surfaces; trampoline 22 is non-horizontal. Figures 10,10A are considered to be non-uniformly shaped and inherently capable of being stacked on the playing surfaces.

Regarding claim 2, base 50 corresponds to the recited game board.

4. Claims 3-8, 11-13, 15, 19-21, 23, 24, 26-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serman as applied above.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,492

Art Unit: 3711

Page 3

Regarding claim 3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to removably secure the base structures to more easily store the game when not in use.

Regarding claim 4, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide inserts with the Serman base structures to better fasten the structures to the game board.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, it is old and well-known in the art to provide legs to table-top games for use as a stand-alone game.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, it is old and well-known in the art to provide storage compartments with game tables to store game pieces when not in use.

Regarding claims 11, 13, 15, 19, 21, 23, 26, 27 and 30, it would have been obvious to make the Serman human figures different sizes since human beings are of different sizes.

Regarding claims 12, 20, 24 and 28, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the Serman figures of different colors to better differentiate the figures.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,492

Art Unit: 3711

### Response to Arguments

Page 4

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 10-16, 18-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 14, 22 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (571) 272-4415.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

Application/Control Number: 10/697,492

Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif 18 August 2005